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Parasites reveal movement of bats between the New and Old Worlds
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The global distribution of bat taxa indicates that the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans are effective barriers to movement between the Old and New Worlds. For instance, one of the major suborders, Yinpterochiroptera, has an exclusively Old World distribution, and within the other, Yangochiroptera, no species and only five genera are common to both. However, as bats are sometimes blown out to sea, and have colonised isolated islands, occasional natural movement between the New and Old Worlds does appear to be possible. Here we identify new genotypes of a blood parasite, Trypanosoma dionisii, in Old World bats that are closely related to South American strains. Using highly conservative calibration points, divergence of Old and New World strains is estimated to have occurred 3.2–5.0 million years ago (MYA), depending on the method used (upper 95% CL for maximum time 11.4 MYA). The true date of divergence is likely to be considerably more recent. These results demonstrate that taxon-specific parasites can indicate historical movements of their hosts, even where their hosts may have left no lasting phylogenetic footprint.
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1. Introduction

Bats originated approximately 65 million years ago (MYA) (Eick et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2005), probably in Africa (Eick et al., 2005). Endemism is high at each taxonomic level (Teeling et al., 2005), and the patterns of distribution suggest that the geographic separation of the Old and New Worlds has been an effective barrier to the movement of bats. For example, one of the two proposed suborders, Yinpterochiroptera (which includes three microbat families: Rhinopomatidae, Rhinolophidae and Megadermatidae, as well as the megabat family Pteropodidae), has an exclusively Old World distribution. Within the other proposed suborder, Yangochiroptera, no species and only five genera are common to both (Eick et al., 2005; Teeling et al., 2005). Evidence for movement of bats between these regions is limited. New World species of the genus Myotis are estimated to have initially diverged 12.2 ± 2.0 MYA, indicating that Myotis arrived from the Old World before this (Stadelmann et al., 2007). It is not known when the other genera found in both the Old and New Worlds made the crossing.

Occasionally, New World bats are reported in Europe. Some, such as \textit{Myotis lucifugus}, have been accidentally transported onboard ships (Constantine, 2003). Others are thought to have arrived naturally, apparently blown off-course by more than 1000 km during migrations. Examples include Hoary Bats (\textit{Lasiurus cinereus}) that are occasionally found in Iceland, the Galapagos Islands, and Orkney Islands (see Constantine (2003) and references therein), and bats found in Bermuda, over 1000 km from the United States (Constantine, 2003). In addition, some have colonised isolated islands; the Hawaiian Hoary Bat (\textit{Lasiurus cinereus semotus}) is thought to be most closely related to a subspecies of Hoary Bat from North America, implying natural movement over a distance of over 4000 km (Morales and Bickham, 1995). However, such movements are difficult to study as the distances travelled, the infrequency of movements, and the unlikelihood of capturing one of the relevant individuals render traditional approaches such as banding, radiotracking, and stable isotope analysis unlikely to yield useful results.

Some species of trypanosomes, single-celled parasites that are found in the blood of vertebrates, cause important diseases in humans and livestock (Hoare, 1972). For example, in Africa, some variants of \textit{Trypanosoma brucei} are responsible for Sleeping Sickness in humans, while a range of other species cause similar wasting diseases of livestock (known as nagana). In South and Central America, \textit{Trypanosoma cruzi} causes Chagas Disease in humans and is also known to infect a wide range of reservoir animals (Hoare, 1972; Miles et al., 2009). Trypanosomes have been described from bats from all continents in which they occur (Hoare,
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Many bat trypanosomes (including *T. dionisii* and *T. vespertilionis*) are morphologically indistinguishable from *T. cruzi*. Therefore these bat trypanosomes can only be assigned to the *T. cruzi* subgenus *T. (Schizotrypanum)* sp. based on morphology alone. As little is known of the host specificity of most bat trypanosomes, their diversity may have been considerably over- or underestimated (Hoare, 1972). The objective of this study was to examine occurrence and diversity of trypanosome species in British bats. Because many parasites, including species of bat trypanosome, can persist in related groups of hosts, understanding their phylogeography can provide valuable clues to the historical movements of host organisms.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. PCR detection and identification of trypanosomes

One-hundred and eighty-eight blood samples from 13 species of bat were collected in southern Britain in 2006 (collected under Natural England licence 20082696 and preceding licences, and Home Office licence PPL30/2243). Bats were identified by F. Mathews (Exeter) using standard field guides (Greenaway and Hutson, 1990; Dietz and van Helverson, 2004). Genomic DNA was extracted from blood samples using the DNeasy Kit (Qiagen Inc.), using negative controls to test for contamination during DNA extraction. As

![Fig. 1. ML gene tree, based on gGAPDH alignment 2, used for molecular dating analyses.](image-url)

The model of nucleotide substitution was GTR + G. –Ln = 18000.59528. Highlighted clades are host-restricted clades used for calibration. Only bootstrap values for the main clades are given. †Indicates clades which were constrained for BEAST analysis. Single values at nodes are ML bootstrap values (%; based on 1000 replicates); multiple values are ML, MP and ML distance bootstrap values. 100* = Support values of 100% by all methods. – = Support value <50.
blood samples were collected at different times, DNA quality was tested using primers 5′-GGCCCGGTAYYHYRACC-3′ and 5′-GAT-KGCCGTGTTATCCCTR-3′, designed to be specific for a ~400 bp region of the vertebrate mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA gene, using a touchdown PCR program (Hamilton and Tyler, 2008), which indicated the presence of amplifiable DNA in 154 DNA samples. To test for the presence of trypanosomal DNA, PCR reactions were carried out using trypanosome-specific rDNA primers 18S-1f and 18S-4r (Hamilton et al., 2008) and trypanosome-positive samples were further analysed by fluorescent fragment length barcoding (FFLB), a method that discriminates between species using length differences of four ribosomal regions (Hamilton et al., 2008). FFLB profiles were also obtained from isolates of Trypanosome species found in British bats.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bat species</th>
<th>No. sampled a</th>
<th>Locations (No. sampled) b</th>
<th>No. +ve Trypanosome species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common Pipistrelle (Pipistrellus pipistrellus)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1 T. dionisi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soprano Pipistrelle (Pipistrellus pygmaeus)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>LA (12); WY (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbastelle Bat (Barbastella barbastellus)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>WY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bechstein's Bat (Myotis bechsteinii)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>BO (1); BF (1); GR (2); WY (1)</td>
<td>0 T. dionisi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Long-eared Bat (Plecotus auritus)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>WY (7); BO (2); BF (2); GR (1)</td>
<td>0 T. dionisi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandt's Bat (Myotis brandti)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>BF (1); BO (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daubenton's Bat (Myotis daubentoni)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>GR (1); WY (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Horseshoe Bat (Rhinolophus ferrumequinum)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>GR (14); BO (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesser Horseshoe Bat (Rhinolophus hipposideros)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>GR (4); BO (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natterer's Bat (Myotis nattereri)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>BF (8); BO (15); GR (5); WY (4)</td>
<td>0 T. dionisi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noctule Bat (Nyctalus noctula)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>AM (1); BIA (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serotine Bat (Eptesicus serotinus)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>AM (6); BA (2); BO (4); SL (1); WY (1)</td>
<td>3 T. dionisi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiskered Bat (Myotis mystacinus)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>BO (6); BF (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Only includes bats which provided amplifiable DNA.

b Codes for locations: AM: Amesbury – 51°10′11.55″N 1°47′14.62″W; BA: Bath – 51°23′1.18″N 2°24′08.78″W; BE: Belcombe – 51°36′46.79″N 2°39′63.93″W; BO: Box – 51°25′07.57″N 2°14′30.83″W; BIA: Bristol International Airport – 51°22′36.59″N 2°42′52.53″W; BF: Brown’s Folly – 51°23′30.35″N 2°17′45.66″W; LA: Lacock – 51°41′45.54″N 2.11′78.57″W; CA: Captive, origin unknown; GR: Grippwood – 51°20′20.17″N 2°15′24.82″W; SL: Slaughterford – 51°27′34.69″N 2°27′34.69″W; WY: Wytham – 51°46′31.20″N 1°20′23.84″W.

c Only includes bats infected with New 2, of which one was co-infected with T. vespertilionis.

d All infected bats were infected with New 2, of which one was co-infected with T. vespertilionis; all from BIA.

2.2. Molecular dating

Divergence dates were estimated from gGAPDH gene alignment. Although concatenated 18S rDNA and gGAPDH gene alignments generally provide increased resolution (Hamilton et al., 2007; McInnes et al., 2009), analyses were based on gGAPDH, as only short 18S rDNA sequences could be obtained from genotype New 1. Dates were calculated from the optimal ML tree using PATHd8 (Fig. 1) (Britton et al., 2007) and BEAST v. 1.6.1 using a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007). Posterior probability distributions of node ages were obtained using GTR with rate variation (six gamma categories) and an uncorrelated log-normal model of rate variation. As, under certain circumstances, BEAST may become stuck on local optima, monophyletic clades (indicated in Fig. 1) were predefined in order to obtain a topology that corresponded to the phylogeny obtained from more robust phylogenetic methods based on more comprehensive concatenated datasets (Hamilton et al., 2007; McInnes et al., 2009). A Yule tree prior (Yule, 1925) was employed, which assumes a constant speciation rate for each branch on the tree, although the population structures of T. dionisi and most other trypanosome species are not known. Two independent MCMC chains were run for a minimum of 20,000,000 generations (burn-in 20%), with parameters sampled every 1000 generations. In order to assess that the MCMC chains were had stabilized, lnL plots were examined using Tracer v. 1.4 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2007). Four host-restricted trypanosomatid clades, which received robust bootstrap support in trees based on concatenated 18S rDNA and gGAPDH genes (Hamilton et al., 2007; McInnes et al., 2009), were used for calibration. The oldest estimated divergence dates for their host groups were used as the maximum times of divergence of the parasite clades, as host-restricted parasites are likely to have diversified after diversification of their hosts (Stevens et al., 2007).
and Rambaut, 2001). We used 390 ± ½ million years ago (MYA) for the origin of trypanosomatids, based on the origin of insects (Gaunt and Miles, 2002); evidence suggests that the ancestral trypanosomatid was an insect parasite (Hamilton et al., 2004). Maximum dates were also applied to: (1) 100 MYA for the origin of the ‘mammalian clade’ (Hamilton et al., 2007), based on the first major diversification of mammals (Bininda-Emonds et al., 2007); (2) 77 MYA for the origin of the ‘avian clade’ (Hamilton et al., 2007); based on diversification of passerine birds (Barker et al., 2004; Ericson et al., 2006); and (3) 184 MYA for the origin of the crocodilian clade (Viola et al., 2009), based on diversification of extant crocodiles (Janke et al., 2005). To evaluate the effect of priors on the analysis, we conducted an analysis after removing all sequence data. This resulted in markedly different inferred divergence times, suggesting that the data are driving the results of these analyses rather than the priors.

3. Results

We identified four distinct trypanosome genotypes in a survey of 154 British bats from which amplifiable DNA was obtained using fluorescent fragment length barcoding (Table 1). Two of the FFLB profiles from European isolates matched those of previously described bat trypanosomes, *T. dionisii* (hereafter *T. dionisii A*) and *T. vespertilionis*. The other two profiles were new: ‘New 1’ from Sorotive Bats (*Epsteinsia seroticus*) and Whiskered Bats (*Myotis mystacinus*), and ‘New 2’ from Noctule Bats (*Nyctalus noctula*) (Table 2). Phylogenetic analysis using 18S rRNA and gGAPDH gene sequences revealed that both are new strains of *T. dionisii* and are closely related to each other (Figs. 1 and 2). Their gGAPDH and 18S rRNA (*V7–V8 region*) genes differed by 0.48% (4 out of 836 bp) and 1% (6 out of 541 bp), respectively, from each other compared to a minimum gGAPDH divergence of 4.4% from *T. dionisii A*. Phylogenetic analysis placed both new strains in *T. dionisii* group B, previously only known from South America (Maia da Silva et al., 2009; Cavazzana et al., 2010), rather than with European *T. dionisii* group A (Figs. 1 and 2). In South America, *T. dionisii* group B has been found in 12 bat species from four families (Molossidae, Noctilionidae, Phyllostomidae, and Vespertilionidae) including insectivorous, frugivorous, and haematophagous species (Cavazzana et al., 2010). Within *T. dionisii* group B, sequences from European and South American isolates differed by a minimum of 0.2% (1 out of 541 bp) for *V7–V8* 18S rDNA and 0.72% (6 out of 836 bp) for the gGAPDH gene.

Maximum times of divergence between the sequences from South America and the new European *T. dionisii* B strains were estimated from the gGAPDH dataset, using maximum calibration points, under the assumption that divergences of host-restricted parasite clades post-date divergence of their hosts. Analysis using PATHd8 suggests that the most similar sequences from Old and New World strains diverged 3.2 MYA, whereas Bayesian analysis in BEAST suggested they diverged 5.0 MYA (95% CI for maximum time 11.4 MYA). Dates for divergence of the UK strains were 1.6 and 8.7 MYA (95% CI for maximum time 17.5 MYA) using these two methods, respectively. Given the conservative divergence dates used for the host taxa, and that host-restricted trypanosome clades may have evolved some time after diversification of their host groups, true divergence dates for parasites are likely to have been considerably more recent. Despite these limitations, the data clearly show that divergence must have occurred well after the separation of the Old and New Worlds, and possibly considerably more recently.

4. Discussion

This study has identified strains of bat parasite in the United Kingdom that are closely related to those from Brazil. We suggest that movement of bats between the Old and New Worlds within the last ~5 million years (MY), certainly since geographical isolation of the Old and New Worlds, is the most likely explanation for the observed distribution of these trypanosomes. Of course, movement may also have been considerably more recent, but this is difficult to determine, as other calibration points are not available. We infer that migrant bats passed on their trypanosomes to native bat species, although the migrant bats themselves may not have established viable populations. The phylogenetic resolution provides insufficient evidence to determine whether movement occurred from Old World to New World or vice versa. Neither can the route of movement be inferred, as the wider distribution of these strains in either the Old or the New Worlds is currently unknown. Furthermore, although *T. dionisii* is a parasite specific to bats, a lack of specificity to particular species of bat host could be a critical factor in facilitating the spread of *T. dionisii* strains within continents. *Trypanosoma (Schizotrypanum)* sp. has been reported from ~70 species and in all continents in which bats are found (Hoare, 1972), but molecular studies would be required to determine the true distribution of species and strains.

The most likely explanation for the presence of *T. dionisii B* in the New and Old Worlds is movement of an infected bat. Although *T. dionisii* can enter cells (Baker, 1985; Oliveira et al., 2009) and forms cysts in the muscular tissue of the heart (Gardner and Molyneux, 1988a), suggesting pathogenicity, British bats have been shown to live for over a year with *T. (Schizotrypanum)* sp. (Gardner and Molyneux, 1988a) with no obvious detrimental effects. Thus, bats are probably capable of normal flying and movement (including some long-distance flights) while infected. Movement of *T. dionisii* by birds is an unlikely explanation, since it falls in the *T. cruzi* clade that is only known in mammals (Stevens et al., 1999; Hamilton et al., 2007). Movement by the invertebrate vector in the absence of a vertebrate host is also unlikely. Cimicid bugs (the Cimicidae, or bed and bat bugs) are the only known vectors of *T. dionisii* and also transmit several other bat trypanosome species (Gardner and Molyneux, 1988b; Hamilton et al., 2007). Cimicid bugs are an arthropod vector on bird hosts is possible, as some cimicid species can feed on both birds and bats (Reinhardt and Siva-Jothy, 2007). Movement by an arthropod vector on bird hosts is possible, since birds are not known hosts of bat trypanosomes. The vectorial capacity of the other bug family that is parasitic on bats, Polycentridae (policentrids, or “bat bugs”), is unknown, but could not move in the absence of a bat host. These wingless bugs live exclusively and permanently on bat hosts and are found on certain microchiropteran bats in tropical and subtropical regions of both the Old and New
Fig. 2. (A) ML gGAPDH gene tree of the T. cruzi clade. The model of nucleotide substitution was GTR + G. -Ln = 3026.91352. (B) ML tree, based on the D7–D8 region of 18S rDNA. -Ln = 3273.71272. The model of nucleotide substitution was K81 + G, with equal base frequencies. • = Sequences obtained in this study.

Worlds; of these, each of the two bug subfamilies is exclusive to one or other region, with no overlap between the Old and New Worlds. Moreover, while polictenid bugs can be transmitted experimentally between bats (Dick et al., 2009), bat–bird transmission has not been reported.

This raises the question of whether movement(s) of the infected bat(s) occurred naturally or was facilitated by humans. Constantine (2003) cited several reports of bats which had been inadvertently transported by humans, for example, by bats roosting in airplanes or ships. Presumably, most human-assisted transportations go unreported and these are likely to have occurred with increasing frequency since the 1800s, when ships increased significantly in size and speed, together with increased volumes of trade. In support of this idea, trypanosome infections were found in Eptesicus and Myotis in this study; there are reports of long-distance translocations of members of these genera that were mediated by humans, likely because these bats occasionally roost in ships (Constantine, 2003). However it seems that two separate introductions would have been required based on the current evidence, one possible route for movement of bats between the Old and New Worlds is between Alaska and Siberia, particularly across the Bering Land Bridge during a period of low sea level. Bats are known to occur throughout much of Alaska, some close to the Arctic circle (MacDonald and Cook, 2009). Phylogenetic evidence supports a Palaearctic origin of the New World Myotis (Stadelmann et al., 2007), suggesting this as a possible route, while within the New Word the relatively small sea barrier prior to closure of the Isthmus of Central America (2–3 MYA) is unlikely to have represented a major barrier to bat movement (Stadelmann et al., 2007).

More broadly, the T. cruzi clade includes several other species/lineages found in bats and also trypanosomes found in terrestrial mammals from Australia, the New World and Africa. Our results suggest that bats can disperse trypanosome genotypes across substantial geographical barriers, a mechanism previously proposed to explain the widespread distribution of the T. cruzi clade (Stevens et al., 1999; Hamilton et al., 2009).

In conclusion, the distribution of trypanosome strains in this study implies relatively recent bat movements that are not possible to infer from the direct examination of bat phylogenies. Given the importance of bats as hosts of zoonoses highly pathogenic to humans, understanding the historical patterns of parasite/host movements can provide useful insights into the contemporary distributions and potential spread of pathogens such as rabies and coronaviruses.
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