

Information Graphics

in

Health Technology Assessment

Submitted by William Marck Stahl-Timmins of the Peninsula Postgraduate Health Institute to the Universities of Exeter and Plymouth as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the visual presentation of health technology assessment data and information, January 2011.

This thesis, in printed or electronic format, is available for library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this which is not my own work has been identified and that no unchanged or acknowledged material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other university.

Signed:

(Will Stahl-Timmins)

<h2>Preface</h2> <p>Initial navigation and reference sections. Please use the coloured tabs that can be seen on the right-hand edge of the book to navigate to and from these sections as necessary.</p>	0.1	Overview
	0.2	Contents
	0.3	Abstract
	0.4	Thanks
	0.5	Author's declaration
	0.6	Definitions
	0.7	Abbreviations
<h2>Chapter 1: Introduction</h2> <p>Introduces the terms 'information graphics' and 'health technology assessment'. This chapter also sets the research questions for the rest of the thesis.</p>	1	Introduction
	1.1	Information graphics
	1.2	HTA
	1.3	Potential functions
	1.4	Problem domain
	1.5	Research question
<h2>Chapter 2: Methodology</h2> <p>A discussion of the methods used in the research domain of the thesis.</p>	2	Methodology
	2.1	Discussion
	2.2	Process model
<h2>Chapter 3: Context</h2> <p>Examines the current use of, and needs for, information graphics in HTA.</p>	3	Context
	3.1	Current use
	3.2	Information needs
<h2>Chapter 4: Design</h2> <p>Shows practical examples of the specification and development of information graphics, for use in HTA.</p>	4	Design
	4.1	Elements
	4.2	Specification
	4.3	Development
<h2>Chapter 5: Prototype test 1 (GOfER)</h2> <p>An empirical study to evaluate GOfER, a systematic review overview graphic, specified in Chapter 4.</p>	5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
	5.1	Introduction
	5.2	Methods
	5.3	Quantitative results
	5.4	Qualitative results
	5.5	Conclusions
<h2>Chapter 6: Prototype test 2 (SOC)</h2> <p>A second graphic, SOC, for time-based overview of a Markov model, is evaluated using similar research methods to those in Chapter 5.</p>	6	Prototype test 2 (SOC)
	6.1	Introduction
	6.2	Methods
	6.3	Quantitative results
	6.4	Qualitative results
	6.5	Conclusions
<h2>Chapter 7: Discussion</h2> <p>Ties together results of preceding chapters, offering conclusions drawn from combined findings of all studies in the thesis.</p>	7	Discussion
	7.1	Summary
	7.2	Conclusions
	7.3	Future research
<h2>Chapter 8: Appendices</h2> <p>Supporting material such as interview scripts and transcripts, as well as multiple-page information graphics which would disrupt the flow of the text. A methodological study is also recorded here, which informed the development of this thesis, but the results of which are not essential to understand the arguments herein.</p>	8	Appendices
	A	Methodological study
	B	NICE interview data
	C	GOfER graphic
	D	GOfER test script
	E	GOfER test transcript
	F	GOfER test data
	G	SOC graphic
	H	SOC test script
	I	SOC test transcript
	9	References

0.2 Contents	3	0.1 Overview
		0.2 Contents
		0.3 Abstract
		0.4 Thanks
		0.5 Author's declaration
		0.6 Definitions
		0.7 Abbreviations
0.3 Abstract	12	1 Introduction
0.4 Thanks	13	1.1 Information graphics
0.5 Author's declaration	16	1.2 HTA
0.6 Definitions	17	1.3 Potential functions
0.7 Abbreviations	19	1.4 Problem domain
1 Introduction	20	1.5 Research question
1.1 Information graphics	21	2 Methodology
1.1.1 A brief history	21	2.1 Discussion
1.1.2 Approaches to understanding	38	2.2 Process model
1.1.3 Working definition for information graphics	43	3 Context
1.2 HTA (health technology assessment)	49	3.1 Current use
1.2.1 A brief history	49	3.2 Information needs
1.2.2 Focus: NICE appraisals	53	4 Design
1.2.3 Stakeholders	54	4.1 Elements
1.2.4 Working definition of HTA	55	4.2 Specification
1.3 Potential functions	57	4.3 Development
1.3.1 Potential function 1: Presenting complex data	57	5 Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
1.3.2 Potential function 2: Condensing data into a small space	58	5.1 Introduction
		5.2 Methods
		5.3 Quantitative results
		5.4 Qualitative results
		5.5 Conclusions
		6 Prototype test 2 (soc)
		6.1 Introduction
		6.2 Methods
		6.3 Quantitative results
		6.4 Qualitative results
		6.5 Conclusions
		7 Discussion
		7.1 Summary
		7.2 Conclusions
		7.3 Future research
		8 Appendices
		A Methodological study
		B NICE interview data
		C GOfER graphic
		D GOfER test script
		E GOfER test transcript
		F GOfER test data
		G SOC graphic
		H SOC test script
		I SOC test transcript
		9 References

1.3.3	Potential function 3: Overview and comparison of multivariate data	58
1.3.4	Potential function 4: Enabling faster information processing	58
1.3.5	Potential function 5: Selective focussing	59
1.4	Problem domain	60
1.4.1	Defining information needs	61
1.4.2	Design and production	62
1.4.3	Evaluation	63
1.4.4	Media	64
1.5	Research question	66
2	Methodology	68
2.1	Methodological discussion	69
2.1.1	Health technology assessment	69
2.1.2	Information design	71
2.1.3	Existing studies of information displays in health research	75
2.1.4	Researching information graphics in HTA	78
2.2	Process model	82
3	Context	87
3.1	Current use	89
3.1.1	Introduction	89
3.1.2	Methods	94
3.1.3	Results	97
3.1.4	Conclusion	106

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations
1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

3.2 Information needs	111
3.2.1 Introduction	111
3.2.2 Methods	114
3.2.3 Results	117
3.2.4 Conclusion	130
4 Design	136
4.1 Elements	137
4.1.1 Introduction	137
4.1.2 Personal listing strategy	138
4.1.3 Elements	141
4.2 Specification	148
4.2.1 Graphic 1 – Small multiple techniques including Sankey diagrams for overview of studies in a systematic review	149
4.2.2 Graphic 2 – Two-way sensitivity analysis matrix / bubble chart	151
4.2.3 Graphic 3 – Parallel coordinates for probabilistic sensitivity analysis	154
4.2.4 Graphic 4 – Technology assessment report graphical overview	157
4.2.5 Graphic 5 – Sankey Markov overview	160
4.2.6 Graphic 6 – ‘Whirlpool’ display for enhancing tornado diagram in deterministic sensitivity analysis	163
4.2.7 Graphic 7 – Survival synthesis bubble chart	166
4.2.8 Graphic 8 – Distribution-based forest plot	168
4.2.9 Graphic 9 – Search strategy link diagram	170

0.1 Overview
0.2 Contents
0.3 Abstract
0.4 Thanks
0.5 Author’s declaration
0.6 Definitions
0.7 Abbreviations
1 Introduction
1.1 Information graphics
1.2 HTA
1.3 Potential functions
1.4 Problem domain
1.5 Research question
2 Methodology
2.1 Discussion
2.2 Process model
3 Context
3.1 Current use
3.2 Information needs
4 Design
4.1 Elements
4.2 Specification
4.3 Development
5 Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1 Introduction
5.2 Methods
5.3 Quantitative results
5.4 Qualitative results
5.5 Conclusions
6 Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1 Introduction
6.2 Methods
6.3 Quantitative results
6.4 Qualitative results
6.5 Conclusions
7 Discussion
7.1 Summary
7.2 Conclusions
7.3 Future research
8 Appendices
A Methodological study
B NICE interview data
C GOfER graphic
D GOfER test script
E GOfER test transcript
F GOfER test data
G SOC graphic
H SOC test script
I SOC test transcript
9 References

4.2.10	Graphic 10 – Individual patient display for discrete event simulation	171
4.2.11	Potential colour variations	174
4.2.12	Potential animated variations	175
4.2.13	Potential interactive variations	175
4.3	Development	177
4.3.1	Graphic 1 – Small multiple techniques including Sankey diagrams for overview of studies in a systematic review	178
4.3.2	Graphic 3 – Parallel coordinates for probabilistic sensitivity analysis	182
4.3.3	Graphic 5 – Sankey Markov overview	185
4.3.4	Graphic 7 – Survival synthesis bubble chart	188
4.3.5	Graphic 9 – Search strategy link diagram	190
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)	194
5.1	Introduction	195
5.1.1	Testing graphics	195
5.1.2	Testing GOfER	197
5.1.3	Aims	199
5.2	Methods	200
5.2.1	Population sampled	200
5.2.2	Sample size	201
5.2.3	Procedure	202

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations
1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

5.2.4	Experimental design	203
5.2.5	Outcomes	204
5.2.6	Analysis methods	206

5.3 Quantitative results 209

5.3.1	Characteristics	209
5.3.2	Task performance	211
5.3.3	Randomisation effects	214
5.3.4	General questions	215

5.4 Qualitative results 219

5.4.1	Thematic category 1: Potential functions	219
5.4.2	Thematic category 2: Organisation	220
5.4.3	Thematic category 3: Trial characteristics	222
5.4.4	Thematic category 4: Interpretation	225
5.4.5	Thematic category 5: Judgement	228
5.4.6	Thematic category 6: Observation	229
5.4.7	Thematic category 7: Preference	230
5.4.8	Thematic category 8: Design	231
5.4.9	Thematic category 9: Application	232

5.5 Conclusions 233

5.5.1	Statement of findings	233
5.5.2	Discussion	236
5.5.3	Strengths and limitations of methods	237
5.5.4	Implications of findings	239

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations

1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

6 Prototype test 2 (SOC)	244
6.1 Introduction	245
6.1.1 Data used	245
6.1.2 State occupancy chart (soc)	251
6.1.3 Aims of test	258
6.2 Methods	259
6.2.1 Population sampled	259
6.2.2 Sample size	259
6.2.3 Procedure	259
6.2.4 Outcomes	260
6.2.5 Analysis method	262
6.3 Quantitative results	263
6.3.1 Background of participants	264
6.3.2 Task performance	266
6.3.3 General questions	277
6.4 Qualitative results	283
6.4.1 Potential functions	283
6.4.2 Modelling	285
6.4.3 Interpretation	287
6.4.4 Actions	288
6.4.5 Design	289
6.5 Conclusions	290
6.5.1 Statement of findings	290
6.5.2 Discussion	292
6.5.3 Strengths and limitations of methods	292
6.5.4 Implications of findings	295

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations
1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

7 Discussion 299

7.1 Overall summary of results 300

7.1.1 Current use of information graphics	300
7.1.2 Needs of decision-makers at NICE	300
7.1.3 New prototype information graphics	301

7.2 General conclusions 304

7.2.1 Design of information graphics in HTA	304
7.2.2 Production of information graphics in HTA	307
7.2.3 Use of information graphics in HTA	311

7.3 Recommendations for future research 314

7.3.1 Developed information graphics in HTA	314
7.3.2 New information graphics in HTA	314
7.3.3 Other audiences	315

Appendix A – Methodological study 316

A – 1 Introduction	316
A – 2 Methods	320
A – 3 Results	325
A – 4 Conclusion	342

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations

1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

Appendix B: NICE interview data	346
B – 1 Script for telephone interviews	346
B – 2 Sample NICE interview transcript	348
B – 3 NICE interview terms	354
Appendix C – GOfER graphic	361
Appendix D – Script for GOfER test interviews	367
Appendix E – Sample interview transcript from GOfER tests	372
Appendix F – GOfER test data	399
F – 2 Presentation 1	399
F – 3 Presentation 2	405
F – 5 Combination format	411
Appendix G – SOC graphic	416
Appendix H – Script for SOC test interviews	424
Appendix I – Sample interview transcript from SOC tests	429

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations

1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations

1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript

0.3 Abstract

This thesis addresses the question of the design, production and use of information graphics in health technology assessment (HTA). Drawing on previous research in both information design and health policy, it describes a comprehensive design process for creating new visual presentations that can inform health policy-makers.

The thesis begins by introducing, and functionally defining the terms ‘information graphics’ and ‘health technology assessment’ in [Chapter 1](#). It then offers a methodological discussion of how research can be performed at the intersection between these two diverse fields. This discussion forms [Chapter 2](#) of the thesis.

The context of use is surveyed in two studies, which are presented in [Chapter 3](#). These assess the current use of information graphics in HTA, and the information needs of health policy decision-making bodies. This enables a needs-based approach to the design of 10 information graphics, that could be used in HTA. These are shown in [Chapter 4](#).

Finally, two of these information graphics are empirically tested with two further research studies, forming [Chapter 5](#) and [Chapter 6](#).

The thesis is aimed at giving practical advice to those wanting to produce graphical presentations of information in HTA, and to provide the foundation for further original research in information design and HTA. [Chapter 7](#) draws together the research from the rest of the thesis, to make recommendations in light of the combined findings.

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author’s declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations
1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

0.4 Thanks

This PhD thesis is not the work of one person, but of many. Without the assistance of the following people, the volume would be much diminished:

Supervisory team	Martin Pitt	I am immensely grateful that you have recognised the potential of visual communication of scientific data. Your patient support and guidance through the last three years and more has enabled me to produce this work. If I have got science, it is thanks to you. I look forward to working with you in the future.
	Rob Anderson	
	Ken Stein	
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	Stuart Logan	Thank you for your valuable feedback through my transfer and Annual Research Events. Your astute critiques of the project have focussed it greatly.
	Nicky Britten	
	PenTAG (The Peninsula Technology Assessment Group)	You have made me feel like one of the team (apart from Ken, obviously...) You are a strong group, and I will miss your questioning minds and sharp wits enormously. (And all the cake, of course...)
	Gabriel Rogers	Thanks for sharing your information communication challenges with me, which ultimately enabled me to base my designs on actual needs rather than ones I imagined in the bathtub.
	Mary Bond	
	Tiffany Moxham	
	Ruth Garside	For being there to bounce ideas off, and advising me throughout my studies. Your deep knowledge of your fields never ceases to amaze me.
	Colin Green	
	Roy Powell	
	Jaime Peters	
	Jenny Lowe	For putting up with my endless binding and printing demands, you have my thanks. Also, for booking my accommodation and travel around Europe, and generally looking after me (and keeping the tea and biscuits flowing).
	Sue Whiffin	
	Jo Perry	
	Lianne Perry	

- 0.1** Overview
- 0.2** Contents
- 0.3** Abstract
- 0.4** **Thanks**
- 0.5** Author's declaration
- 0.6** Definitions
- 0.7** Abbreviations
- 1** **Introduction**
- 1.1** Information graphics
- 1.2** HTA
- 1.3** Potential functions
- 1.4** Problem domain
- 1.5** Research question
- 2** **Methodology**
- 2.1** Discussion
- 2.2** Process model
- 3** **Context**
- 3.1** Current use
- 3.2** Information needs
- 4** **Design**
- 4.1** Elements
- 4.2** Specification
- 4.3** Development
- 5** **Prototype test 1 (GOfER)**
- 5.1** Introduction
- 5.2** Methods
- 5.3** Quantitative results
- 5.4** Qualitative results
- 5.5** Conclusions
- 6** **Prototype test 2 (soc)**
- 6.1** Introduction
- 6.2** Methods
- 6.3** Quantitative results
- 6.4** Qualitative results
- 6.5** Conclusions
- 7** **Discussion**
- 7.1** Summary
- 7.2** Conclusions
- 7.3** Future research
- 8** **Appendices**
- A** Methodological study
- B** NICE interview data
- C** GOfER graphic
- D** GOfER test script
- E** GOfER test transcript
- F** GOfER test data
- G** SOC graphic
- H** SOC test script
- I** SOC test transcript
- 9** **References**

PCMD (continued)	Bernice Wilmshurst	For patiently keeping me on course with the practicalities of writing such a volume, and helping me to meet the requirements of postgraduate study in the School.
	Katie Hill	
	Pam Rosenthal	For keeping my unconventional IT needs met without a whisper of protest, and letting me get on with the bits I could do myself. And for providing an appreciative audience to show off my latest gadgets to.
	Paul Field	
	Darren Russell	
	Rupert Frankum	

Family & friends	Dave Tollerton	My fellow PhD sufferers, we have pretty much all emerged (or nearly emerged) at the end of a long journey. I won't say we are unscathed, but we have survived this far... Thanks for being there to commiserate with, and congratulate me, as appropriate.
	John Vines	
	Vicki Goodwin	
	Becky Rose	
	Chris Fox	
	Sarah Denford	
	Andy Dickens	
	Mike Timmins	And the rest of family Timmins, Stahl, Vyvyan-Jones, etc. I will always owe everything to you.
	Lynda Stahl	
	Allison McFarland	For putting up with the huge demands on my time that this PhD has required, and distracting me when I needed it most. If I have any shred of sanity remaining now, it is thanks to you.

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations
1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

Eric Kindel	and the others at Reading University’s Department of Typography and Graphic Communication, especially the Otto and Marie Neurath Isotype Collection. You guard the traditions of our field, and do so with great sensitivity and openness.
Peter Jones	and the other staff and students at Plymouth’s BA (Hons) Graphic Communication with Typography course, for giving me a platform to begin to develop my teaching skills. I found even a small quantity of teaching support exhausting but enormously rewarding. I was very pleased to be able to play a tiny part in the creative development of such engaging and interesting young minds.
The participants in my studies:	I wish that I could name you all without breaching the terms of my ethical research permission from the university. Without your input, I would have no research on which to base this PhD. Thank you for offering your time, insight, encouragement, suggestions and expertise.
The NICE technical advisors	
The researchers at PenTAG and SchARR	
The hundreds that took part in the online study	
HTA researchers worldwide	
Information designers	Lastly on this list, but in no way last in my mind, I would like to thank those that have taken it upon themselves to inform and explain using visual communication. Thank you for helping to make my life colourful, fascinating, and full of beautiful information graphics.

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author’s declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations
1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

0.5 Author's declaration

The six original research studies presented this thesis, in Chapters 3.1, 3.2, 4, 5 6, and Appendix A, are all my own work. Two studies have been published as joint works, which require a statement of my involvement in the research:

Pitt, M., Stahl-Timmins, W., Anderson, R., & Stein, K. 2009, *Using information graphics in health technology assessment: Toward a structured approach*, International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, vol. 25, no. 04, pp. 555–563.

The content analysis research for this study was performed by myself at an early stage of my PhD. All data collection, analysis and visual presentation of data were performed by me. The idea for the study was, however, that of my Director of Studies, Dr Martin Pitt. He also produced the original draft of the paper, using sections from a report on the study written by myself. The other two authors and myself each made comments on the draft before submission.

Stahl-Timmins, W., Pitt, M., Peters, J., Stein, K. & Anderson, R. 2010, *Graphical presentation of data for health policy decisions: An exploratory online decision task experiment to measure effectiveness*, Information Design Journal, vol. 18, no. 3.

The research study was designed, programmed and analysed entirely by myself. Statistical work and graphical presentation of results were also carried out by me. I also drafted the paper, which the other authors were kind enough to comment on and edit. Dr Peters checked the statistical work, but did not make any major revisions.

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations

1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References

0.6 Definitions

Appraisal Committee (NICE) – The meeting at which guidance on the use of a health technology in the UK’s national health service is discussed.

Arm – This term is used both in relation to mathematical modelling and clinical trials. The arms of a Markov model are different simulations which are run so that they can be compared with each other. The arms of a clinical trial relate to different groups of participants, who would usually be given different interventions, so that they can be compared.

Confounder – A factor that influences the results of a trial, and potentially introduces bias, such as having flawed randomisation.

Confidence interval – A statistical measure, giving a range of values and a stated degree of certainty. Commonly, this range represents an area within which there is 95% probability that the true population mean may be found, as estimated from a sample of this population (Field, 2005).

Cycle – The unit of time into which a Markov model is separated, commonly a week or a month in HTA.

Discrete event simulation – A way of producing a mathematical model, in which simulated patients are represented individually.

EVPI (expected value of perfect information) analysis – A calculation of the amount you would be willing to pay for perfect information (if that were possible).

Forest plot – A graphical presentation specifically developed for presenting meta-analyses. These charts give a summary of the weight and direction of scientific evidence (see Chapter 4.2.8.1).

Hazard ratio – A statistical measure of the hazard, or risk of an event, with reference to an explanatory variable – such as the risk of death with reference to which of two interventions was received.

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author’s declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations

1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GoFER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (SoC)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GoFER graphic
D	GoFER test script
E	GoFER test transcript
F	GoFER test data
G	SoC graphic
H	SoC test script
I	SoC test transcript
9	References

Health technology – “The drugs, devices, and medical and surgical procedures used in medical care, and the organizational and supportive systems within which such care is provided.” (Office of Technology Assessment 1978)

Intervention – A health intervention can be a drug, device, surgical procedure, screening programme, public health campaign or organisational change.

Markov model – A mathematical modelling technique commonly used in HTA. In this technique, cohorts of people are simulated, allowing transitions between health states to be represented by flows between states.

Model (modelling, modeller) – In HTA, a mathematical model is a simulation of a cohort of imagined people, used to extend the length of trials.

Parallel coordinates – A way of showing data with many attributes on a single set of axes. See Chapter 4.2.3: Graphic 3 – Parallel coordinates for probabilistic sensitivity analysis.

Scalability – In information visualisation literature, this term refers to whether a visual presentation would be suitable for a much larger or more complex data set than the example with which it is presented.

State – A state in a Markov model is a grouping of units that fulfil particular criteria. In HTA, simulated people commonly move between states when experiencing different health events, such as undergoing surgery, disease progression or death.

Systematic review – A way of giving an overview of an area of research, by systematically searching databases of published scientific evidence. See Chapter 1.2.1 – A brief history of HTA.

Technology (as in context of ‘health technology assessment’) – See *Health technology*.

Vector-based files – Vector-based files, such as PDFs, can be enlarged and resized, as they are recorded as a series of mathematical functions, rather than on a pixel-by-pixel basis, as in bitmap (or raster) file formats such as JPEG and TIFF).

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author’s declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations
1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GoFER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (Soc)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GoFER graphic
D	GoFER test script
E	GoFER test transcript
F	GoFER test data
G	Soc graphic
H	Soc test script
I	Soc test transcript
9	References

0.7 Abbreviations

EBM – Evidence-based medicine

GOfER – Graphical Overview for Evidence Reviews

HTA – Health technology assessment

INAHTA – International Network of Agencies for Health Technology Assessment

ISPOR – International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research

MCV – Multiple Coordinated View (a method of linking interactive displays together and presenting them on the same screen)

NHS – National Health Service (UK)

NICE – National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (UK)

TAR – Technology assessment report (UK HTA)

OTA – Office of Technology Assessment (USA)

PSA – Probabilistic Sensitivity Analysis

SOC – State Occupancy Chart

UK – United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland)

0.1	Overview
0.2	Contents
0.3	Abstract
0.4	Thanks
0.5	Author's declaration
0.6	Definitions
0.7	Abbreviations

1	Introduction
1.1	Information graphics
1.2	HTA
1.3	Potential functions
1.4	Problem domain
1.5	Research question
2	Methodology
2.1	Discussion
2.2	Process model
3	Context
3.1	Current use
3.2	Information needs
4	Design
4.1	Elements
4.2	Specification
4.3	Development
5	Prototype test 1 (GOfER)
5.1	Introduction
5.2	Methods
5.3	Quantitative results
5.4	Qualitative results
5.5	Conclusions
6	Prototype test 2 (SOC)
6.1	Introduction
6.2	Methods
6.3	Quantitative results
6.4	Qualitative results
6.5	Conclusions
7	Discussion
7.1	Summary
7.2	Conclusions
7.3	Future research
8	Appendices
A	Methodological study
B	NICE interview data
C	GOfER graphic
D	GOfER test script
E	GOfER test transcript
F	GOfER test data
G	SOC graphic
H	SOC test script
I	SOC test transcript
9	References